Draft 3/15/2013

Criteria Value Score

Ecological Criteria	
In what region of Michigan is your site located?	
Upper Peninsula	(5 pts.)
Northern Lower Peninsula (north of vegetation tension zone)	(3 pts.)
Southern Lower Peninsula	(1 pts.)
Is invasive phragmites locally abundant in similar habitat in the general area*? *General area is approximately 2 miles from the site in similar habitat	
Very Abundant (>50% of similar habitat is infested)	(-5 pts.)
Moderate to low abundance (10-50% infested)	(0 pts.)
Virtually absent locally (<10% infested)	(5 pts.)
How large is the Phragmites infestation (approximate patch size)?	
Less than 1000 square feet	(9 pts.)
1000 square feet - 1 acre	(7 pts.)
1 acre - 20 acres	(5 pts.)
Greater than 20 acres	(3 pts.)
Is the infestation in a linear feature, such as a roadside ditch, drain, utility cooridor, etc?	
Yes, the infestation is in a linear feature	(5 pts.)
No, the infestation is in a linear feature	(0 pts.)
Is the area acting as a potential seed source to non-infested areas	
The patch size is less than 1 acre AND the entire area will be treated	(5 pts.)
The patch size is less than 1 acre AND the entire area will NOT be treated	(1 pts.)
The patch size is more of than 1 acre AND the treatment is on the edge of the infestation	(3 pts.)
The patch size is more than 1 acre AND the treatment is NOT on the edge of the infestation	(-5 pts.)
What is the habitat quality and structure development (relative to similar natural community types)?	
Excellent - This area is an excellent example of a natural community	
(e.g. dominated by native plant species; diversity of plant species and growth forms, features such as hummocks, woody debris, open space and cover; and abundant wildlife habitat features such as breeding, rearing, and nursery areas)	(5 ,)
Good - not excellent, but still a good example of a natural community	(5 pts.)
(e.g. some diversity of plant species and growth forms, moderate to sparse hummocks, woody debris, open space and cover; and moderate	
wildlife habitat features such as breeding, rearing, and nursery areas)	(3 pts.)
Poor - degraded habitat, poor example of a natural community (e.g. very low diversity of native plant species and growth forms, almost no hummocks, woody debris, open space and cover; and very sparse	
wildlife habitat features such as breeding, rearing, and nursery areas)	(1 pts.)
Human Values Criteria	
Tullian Values Citeria	
Property Ownership/Location (select all that apply)	
Great Lakes Bottomlands (Below OHWM of the Great Lakes)	(3 pts.)
Public (Above the OHWM of the Great Lakes)	(2 pts.)
Private (Above the OHWM of the Great Lakes)	(1 pts.)
What is the severity of the aesthetic impacts of the phragmites infestation?	
Severe - entirely blocking shoreline views of waterbodies, inhibiting public scenic road or waterway views, etc.	(3 pts.)
Moderate - some (but not entire) blockage of shoreline or other public scenic views Mild - Little to no blockage of shoreline or other public scenic views	(2 pts.) (1 pts.)
Find - Little to no biockage of shoreline of other public scenic views	(1 pts.)
Is the phragmites negatively impacting recreational opportunities at this site?	
Severely impacting recreation - inhibiting boat or walking access to the water, reduction in waterfowl and fish use of the	
area, reduced visibility inhibiting birdwatching, hunting, etc. Moderately impacting recreation - some moderate impacts to boat or walking access to the water, reduction in waterfowl	(5 pts.)
and fish use of the area, or reduced visibility for birdwatching, hunting, etc.	(3 pts.)
Not impacting recreation - little to no impacts on recreational activities.	(1 pts.)
	K 7 - 7
Is the phragmites infestation causing a human safety hazard?	<u> </u>
Significant hazard - blocking views along major roads and intersections, fire-prone dry thatch accumulation adjacent to	(Ento)
homes and buildings, etc. Moderate hazard - Currently not, but has the potental to block views along roads and intersections, some dry thatch	(5 pts.)
adjacent to buildings, etc.	(3 pts.)
No apparent safety hazard.	(1 pts.)
Feasibility/Coordination of Treatment	

Are there sites nearby where Phargmites treatment is planned?

Yes - This site is near (e.g., within 1 mile radius) another site where phragmites treatment is planned and will be		
conducted in synchronization with pooled resources, etc.	(2 pts.)	ĺ
Maybe - Unsure, at this point, if nearby treatment is being planned.	(1 pts.)	ĺ
No - The site is not near any other planned treatment sites.	(0 pts.)	ĺ

How difficult would treatment be at this location?

Very Easy - easy access to the entire phragmites infestation, already have access to the proper equipment. Minimal		
natural resouce impacts (i.e., native vegetation/habitat) from treatment with the proper use of BMPs .	(5 pts.)	1
Moderate - easy to moderate accessibility to the infestation, may have the proper equipment. Using BMPs will minimize		Ī
negative impacts to native vegetation/habitat.	(1 pts.)	
Difficult - difficult or impossible to access the entire phragmites infestation, may not have the proper equiment.		Ī
Treatment may cause excess damage to natural resources.	(-5 pts.)	